



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,756	10/29/2003	Ralph W. Boyd	CE11719JSW	6680
24273	7590	07/03/2006	EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC			TRAN, CONGVAN	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION			ART UNIT	
LAW DEPT			PAPER NUMBER	
8000 WEST SUNRISE BLVD			2617	
FT LAUDERDAL, FL 33322			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/696,756	BOYD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
CongVan Tran	2617		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 November 2005.

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                            2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5, 12 and 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6)  Claim(s) 1-4, 6-11, 13-21, 23 and 24 is/are rejected.  
7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All    b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_ .

5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_ .

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 5, 12 and 22 have been canceled.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-21, and 24-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. (2004/0180673) in view Baba et al. (2003/0050039).

Regarding claims 1-4, 6-7, Adams discloses a method of operating a wireless network for locating a misplaced mobile station and preventing unauthorized use (see abstract, [0051]; [0052]; [0057]). The method comprises sending a misplaced mobile station signal to the mobile station from the network. The misplaced mobile station controls the mobile station to start ringing or alert at a high volume; regardless of the alert preference (i.e., silent, vibrate) that the mobile station is in when misplaced mobile station signal is received. In other words the alert mode can be changed . (see [0057]; [0071]; [0080]; [0090]). Adams discloses that the misplaced mobile station signal can be a text message; a data signal; a voice call from a special calling number; an email or a SMS message and further suggests the use of numerous formats (see [0052]; [0071]; [0080]; [0086]-[0087]).

Adams differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to disclose the misplaced mobile station signal as a DTMF tone transmitted over a wireless communications channel at a wireless communication device.

Baba discloses transmitting a DTMF signal to a cellular phone over a wireless communication channel from the wireless device. The DTMF signal comprises a command for remote control of the cellular phone. For Example, DTMF “\*2” perform on/off control or a siren speaker. (See abstract; figs. 3-6; [0078]; [0110]).

Since Adams discloses the misplaced mobile station signal could be activated by pressing special keys (see [0074]-[0075]) modifying Adams to include DTMF signals/tones would have been obvious at the time of the invention. The reason for modifying Adams is for allowing the misplaced mobile station signal to be sent from various sources such as a landline phone or a computer by press a special keys.

Claims 8-11, 13-21, 23-24 are substantially the same as claims 1-4, 6-7 and should have been similarly rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CongVan Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Harold-Bank can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



CONGVAN TRAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CongVan Tran  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2617

June 26, 2006.